BARDYNABIGES

Superior Court Docker No.

FLED. 1111 3 6 2019.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Vote

AND HEL CLERK

Plaintiffs

٧S

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Towof Yarmouth

Defendants

This Complaint seeks declaratory and a adjunctive relief against the Defendants with respect to certain actions of the Defendant Dennis-Yarmouth Regional School District regarding votes taken for approval of debt for replacement of two schools in the regional school district.

The Plaintiffs allegations are as follows:

1. The Plaintiffs. Thomas James Sullivan Jr. 14 Bioging.

Lane, West Yarmouth Ma., Vida Merris, 73 Shaker

House Road, Yarmouth Port Marine Taxpayers/ Voters

and Residents in the Town of Yameouth,

Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

٧S

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants

- 2. The Defendant Dennis-Yarmouth Regional School District is a duly constituted regional school district established and existing under G.L., c. 71, with a principal address of 296 Station Avenue in Yarmouth Massachusetts ("DYRSD").
- 4. The Defendant Town of Dennis is a Massachusetts

 Municipal Corporation with a principal address of 685

 Route 134, Dennis, Massachusetts ("Dennis")
- 5. The Defendant Town of Yarmouth is a Massachusetts municipal Corporation with a principal address of 1146

Route 28, South Yarmouth, Massachuseus ("Yarmouth")

The Yarmouth Taxpayers Registered Voters

Plainens

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants

- 6. Dennis and Yarmouth are the sole member communities of DYRSD.
- 7. DYRSD, as it is currently comprised is established through, and governed by, a certain regional agreement. originally executed on or about 1975 and as amended most recently in the Spring of 2013 (the "Agreement"). The Agreement was approved by the Town Meetings in both Yarmouth and Dennis.

A copy of the current Agreement is attached hereto as Exhabit A.

BARNSTABLE, 35.

Superior Court

Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

8. Pursuant to G.L. c. 71, a regional agreement governing a regional district may contain a variety of binding requirements including the method of incurring debts and expenses.

Although DYRSD and the Town Meetings in Dennis and Yarmouth were not required to adopt a provision in the Agreement that limited the method of incurring debt, they elected to do so. Accordingly, Section 9 of the Agreement, states, in its entirety.

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBT The incurring of debt, except temporary indebtedness in anticipation of revenue, by the Postrict shall be subject to disapproval by the registered voiers in the member towns pursuant to the provisions of clause (d) of

BARNSTABLE, SS.

Superior Court

Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/ Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants section 16 of chapter seventy-one of the General Law, as it may from time to time be amended.

9. The DYRSD school system includes several different buildings, including the Mattacheese Middle School, which is located at 400 Higgins Crowell Road in Yarmouth ("Mattacheese"). Given the age and condition of Mattachee, the Yarmouth Town Meeting voted in 2016 to appropriate the sum of \$750,000 to conduct a design and feasibility study for repairs to and/or replacement of the school. To this end, it has been the invariable custom and practice of Dennis and Yarmouth to seek Town Meeting approval for DYRSD capital projects.

10. DYRSD altimately determined that Mattacheese needs to be rebuilt, along with the Nathanial II. Wixon School, which is located at 901 Route 134. South Dennis, MA ("Wixon") at a total approximate cost of \$117,000,000,00. After re-bursements from State authorities, the cost to Dennis and Yarmouth collectively would be approximately 72.9 million dollars. Yarmouth's share of the indebtedness would

BARNSTABLE, SS.

Superior Court. Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants
be approximately 48.7 million dollars and Dennis's share would be approximately 24.2 million dollars.

11. DYRSD proposed that all of such funds for the full project would be raised by the incurring of debt by Dennis and Yarmouth, However, despite the binding terms of the Agreement, and the longstanding practice of seeking Town Meeting approval for DYRSD capital projects. DYRSD failed to employ the provisions of G.L. c. 71. \$16(d), as required in the Agreement. Rather, DYRSD provided notice that it would seek to authorize debt via a ballot vote of

BARNSTABLE, SS.

Superior Court Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants residents within the two towns comprising the district, as outlined in the provisions of G.L. c. 71, §16(n).

Nowhere, in the Agreement does it provide for the incurring of capital debt by a ballot vote that excludes Town Meeting's legislative role.

12. By attempting to forego the required use of said
Chapter 71, Section 16(d), DVRSD has deprived the
Taxpayers/Registered voters of Dennis and Yarmouth
from an opportunity to both debate this matter and
receive the recommendations of the Boards of
Selectmen and Finance Committees in each

BARNSTABLE, SS.

Superior Court
Docket No.

The Yannouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants

Town in the Town Meeting setting. Furthermore,
DYRSD's actions deprive the two Towns' statutorily
authorized opportunity to disapprove the proposed
debt.

13. DYRSD has alleged that the use of said Chapter
71, Section 16(n) is authorized by the provisions of
G.L. c. 71. \$14D but such provisions are inapplicable
where as here, a regional agreement has a specific
provision that requires the use of said Section 16(d) to
authorize debt. Furthermore, Section 9 of the

BARNSTABLE, 58.

Superior Court Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants
Agreement pre-dates the 1995 adoption of the relevant provisions of G.L. c. 71, §14D.

14. On December 4, 2018, at the insistence of DYRSD, Dennis and Yarmouth each member Town held local elections (See Exhibit B) for the approval of debt pursuant to said Chapter 71 Section 16(n). In Yarmouth, the vote failed by margin of 1500 to 1957. In Dennis, the vote passed by a margin of 1361 to 879.

15. To the extent that the total vote neross the District may be relevant, the total was 2861 to 2836. By violating G L c. 71, §16(n), 21 DYRSD declared

BARNSTABLE, SE.

Superior Court Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/ Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants that the debt was approved and could now be issued. Plaintiffs repeats , re-alleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 above as if each were fully set forth herein.

Count One

16 By employing the provisions of said Chapter 74 Section 16(n) instead of the required provisions of said Chapter 71 Section 16(d) to authorize debt for the

BARNSTABLE, SS.

Superior Court Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/ Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants
Mattacheese and Wixon reconstruction project.

DYRSD has violated the terms of both the Agreement and the applicable provisions of Massachusetts law.

By failing to employ the provisions of said. Chapter

71 Section 16(d) as required by the Agreement, the said December 4th vote is in effective to authorize the proposed debt for the Mattacheese and Wixon reconstruction project and is otherwise null and void.

COUNTITWO

17. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above as if each were fully set forth herein.

BARNSTABLE, 88.

Superior Court Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants

18. DYRSD has Violated the provisions of G.L. e. 71. §16(n) by relying upon a vote total across the entire district rather than considering the vote for each town as stated in Chapter 71Section 16(n) The town clerk of each town shall certify the results of the election to the district committee. Except as provided herein the election shall be conducted in each town in the same manner as town meetings for the election of town officers. Based upon the failure of the vote in Yarmouth, DYRSD's proposed issuance of debt has not received requisite manicipal pursuant to the terms of said Chapter 71 Section 16(n) and, accordingly, the determination by DYRSD that the said vote to authorize capital debt passed is incorrect and, therefore, no debt may be issued.

WHEREFORE. Yarmouth respectfully requests that this honorable Court:

a Declare and determine that DYRSD's actions were in violation of the Agreement: Declare and determine that the December 4th vote was ineffective to approve the proposed indebtedness for the Mattacheese and Wixon reconstruction project. Declare and determine that any capital debt incurred by DYRSD for the purposes

BARNSTABLE, 55.

Superior Court Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiff's

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants
herein described or similar purposes can only be by application of Section 9 of the Agreement and G.L. c. 71, §16(d), as incorporated therein:

b. Declare and determine that the failed vote in

Yaimouth is inclusive to DYRSD's proposed issuance of debt for the Mattacheese/Wixon reconstruction project.

- Enjoin DYRSD from incurring any debt for such purposes as a result of the vote of December 4.
 2018;
- d. Award the Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.
- e. Enjoin DYRSD from incurring any debt for such purposes as a result of the vote of Decisiber 4, 2018;

BARNSTABLE, 88.

Superior Court Docket No.

The Yarmouth Taxpayers/Registered Voters

Plaintiffs

VS

The Dennis Yarmouth School Committee

The Town of Dennis

The Tow of Yarmouth

Defendants

f. Award the Plaintiffs such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

The Plaintiffs

Thomas J Sullivan Jr

Vida Norris Vida R. horris